

**2015 ANNUAL SHORELAND ORDINANCE
ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES REPORT**



2015 Shoreland Block Grant Amount: \$ 3,457.00

Directions: Please answer the following questions for your county's 2015 activities within the shoreland area (1000 feet from lakes & 300 feet from rivers or the extent of the floodplain, whichever is greater).

Variations

1. List the number of shoreland variations you issued in 2015:

Variance Type	# Approved <u>with</u> Conditions	# Approved <u>without</u> Conditions	# Denied
a. OHWL setback	1	1	
b. Bluff setback			
c. Impervious surface			
d. Building height			
e. Combination of contiguous nonconforming lots of record in common ownership for sale or development (Minn. Stat. § 394.36, Subd. 5(d))			
f. Subdivision of land not meeting lot area/width standards			
g. Other (please specify): Expansion of Nonconforming structure Exceed Accessory Structure Square Footage Reduce Septic System Setback to House Side Yard and Right of Way Setback for Septic	1	1 1	2

2. For approved structure specific variations (items a. – d., with or without conditions), approximately what percentage were for new structures? 50%
3. For approved structure specific variations (items a. – d., with or without conditions), please characterize the range of deviation from the required standard(s): **100' OHW setback reduced to 70' and 63' respectively.**
4. For all variance requests, did your Board of Adjustment develop findings of fact for each of the five variance criteria in Minn. Stat. § 394.27, Subd. 7? YES (yes or no). If yes, do the findings include detailed explanation or rationale for how the criteria were/were not met? (If your Board of Adjustment uses an evaluation form or checklist for making decisions, please attach.) **Yes**
5. For variations approved with conditions, what are some examples of typical conditions for the different types of variations? Please indicate in table below:

Variance Type	Typical Conditions
OHWL setback	Don't exceed impervious surface coverage, meet 3' above ohw.
Bluff setback	
Impervious surface	
Building height	
Other:	Don't exceed imp. Surface coverage, remove structures within one year.

6. If variations are approved without conditions, why not? **In certain instances conditions aren't needed or justifiable.**
7. For approximately what percent of variance inquiries in 2015 were alternative solutions found (thus reducing the actual number of variance applications)? 40%

8. If alternative solutions were found, what are some typical examples? **Change plans to meet setbacks, don't proceed with project, scale down size of project.**

Lots & Land Subdivisions

9. List the number of lots created in 2015:

Type of subdivision or conversion:	Total # of Lots Created	How many of these lots were part of Conservation Subdivisions?	How many of these lots were part of Resort Conversions?
PUD Plats	0		
Non-PUD Plats (standard lot and block)	29		
Lot Splits (administrative subdivision)	12		

10. In the past year, has your county modified/amended shoreland PUD provisions in your ordinance? NO (yes or no)
11. Has your county updated its ordinance to comply with 2009 legislative changes regarding existing nonconforming lots in shoreland areas in Minn. Stats, § 394.36, Subd. 5? YES (yes or no)
12. Has your county approved variances to this statutory requirement in 2015? NO (yes or no)
If yes, how many variances in 2015? _____

Permits

13. How many land use permits did your county issue in shoreland areas in 2015 for the following:
- New construction on previously undeveloped lots: 10 (total # of permits)
 - Redevelopment (e.g., expansion of structures, substantial improvements, new structures added to developed lots): 61 (total # of permits)
14. How many permits for grading, excavation, filling, or soil disturbance within the shore impact zone were issued in 2015? 18
15. Does your county generally inspect the work? YES (yes or no)
If so, how and when (check all that apply):
- on-site inspection prior to construction (**always**)
 - on-site inspection during construction
 - on-site inspection after construction (**sometimes**)
 - as-built drawings and measurements required after construction
 - other (please describe):

Planning and Enforcement

16. Did your county update or amend its comprehensive plan in 2015? NO (yes or no)
If yes, describe any changes affecting shorelands:
17. Did your county update or amend its shoreland ordinance in 2015? NO (yes or no)
If yes, describe the nature of the change:
18. Does your county have any plans to update, amend, or adopt a comprehensive plan or shoreland ordinance in 2016? Yes - Ordinance, Possibly Comp. Plan (yes or no, and if yes, plan or ordinance)
19. Does your county notify the DNR at least 10 days prior to public hearings for variances, CUPs, and ordinance amendments, and within 10 days after final decisions? Yes (yes or no) If yes:

24. Which department or agency is responsible for ag-related buffer compliance activity in your county? Has the new buffer law resulted in any changes in responsibilities, and if so how? **SWCD and Environmental Services. Nothing yet, as program isn't ready by DNR/BWSR yet.**
25. Does your county require compliance with shoreland vegetation management standards for non-agricultural properties? no (yes or no)
If yes, describe 2015 activities in the space below (permit requirements, restoration orders, etc.):

Resources/Training

26. What shoreland-related training, guidance, or tools do your staff or Board of Adjustment need?

General training for BOA and Planning Commission is our area.

Other

27. In the past year, has your county adopted into its shoreland ordinance any new “higher standards” that exceed the statewide minimums in Minnesota Rules, Chapter 6120? Please check and describe ALL that apply (feel free to attach additional information): **NO**

✓	Higher Standard Type	Please describe:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Use of a special protection district or lake classification for which higher standards apply	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lot size & width	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Structure setback from OHWL	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Structure setback from bluff	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Septic system setback from OHWL	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Impervious surface coverage limits	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Special standards applied to nonconforming structures or lots	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vegetation protection/restoration and/or permitting requirements (in the shore impact zone, bluff impact zone, or elsewhere in the shoreland district)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Storm water/land alteration	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Shoreland PUD (i.e. conservation design)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mitigation for variances	
<input type="checkbox"/>	50-foot vegetative buffer on public ditches	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other:	

28. Have any new or unanticipated issues arisen in the past year that you would like the DNR to address or provide guidance on? **NO**

29. Did your county pursue or consider pursuing any special initiatives or outreach efforts to protect shorelands in 2015? Please describe below: **NO**

Contact Information

County: POLK COUNTY

Contact Person: Josh Holte Title: Planning and Zoning Administrator

E-Mail Address: josh.holte@co.polk.mn.us

Phone Number: (218) 281-5700

To receive a shoreland grant in 2016, this form must be completed by February 29, 2016.

Any questions, please contact: Kathleen Metzker, DNR Land Use Hydrologist, 500 Lafayette Road, St. Paul, MN 55155-4032. Tel. 651-259-5694. Please e-mail the completed form to Kathleen.metzker@state.mn.us. Alternatively, you may fax it to 651-296-1811 or mail it to the above address. E-mail is preferred.